### Dynamic Simulation of Batch Copper Converting Using SysCAD with ChemApp Tanai Marin, Kevin Heppner GTT Users Meeting 2022



### Contents

- Batch Cu Converting, Process Description
- Thermodynamic System
- SysCAD Dynamic Converter Model with ChemApp
- Results
- Next Steps and Summary



## What is SysCAD?

- Powerful & versatile Process Plant simulator
- Steady State or Dynamic mode
- Simulate simple to complex full plant operation
- An invaluable process design tool that will help users gain tremendous insights into their process operation
  - Good process knowledge aids better decision making
  - Improved plant operation performance
  - Cost saving
  - More efficient and knowledgeable operators





## **Thermodynamic Calculation Engines (TCE)**



- Detailed thermodynamics applied <u>as needed</u>
- One SysCAD model, multiple TCEs and chemistry models
- Parallel processing
- User-friendly features



# **Batch Cu Converting**

### **Process Description**



## **Process Description**

- Cu converting is traditionally carried out in Peirce-Smith converters.
- It is a batch process receiving furnace matte. Mainly consisting of two distinctive steps
  - Slag blows  $\rightarrow$  removal of Fe to slag to produce white metal ("Cu<sub>2</sub>S")
    - Depending on initial matte grade
    - Could consist of 2 or 3 slag blows, each receiving fresh furnace matte and skimming slag at the end of the blow
    - Fe end-point might be higher for initial blows
  - Cu blow  $\rightarrow$  removal of S from Cu to produce Blister Cu
    - Longer blow, needs cold charge (high grade reverts) for temperature control
    - Transition through Cu-S miscibility gap
  - In a converter aisle, several 2-4 converters typically operate in staggered sequence, with one in Cu blow (high and continuous SO<sub>2</sub> stream) whereas other converters are in slag blow, or down for rebrick.



# Thermodynamic System

#### Cu-Fe-S-O-Si+ System, Definition of thermodynamic ChemSage input file



## **ChemSage Input File**

#### A thermodynamic input file consisting of:

- 7 System components (plus electron):
  - Cu-Fe-S-O-N-H-Si-e
- 9 Solutions:
  - gas\_ideal, Spinel, Monoxide, Liq(Matte\_Metal)#1#2, fcc#1#2, Slag#1#2, etc.
- 39 Pure Components:
  - Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CuO, Cu<sub>2</sub>O, CuFeO<sub>2</sub>, S, FeS, FeS<sub>2</sub>, CuS, Cu<sub>2</sub>S, CuFeS<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>(I)</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>, etc.
- Built from published thermodynamic parameters<sup>[1-9]</sup>







1600

# SysCAD Implementation





### **Species Mapping**

ChemApp species are mapped to SysCAD species

Mapping process is automated but also allows user customization

Species/Phase suppression is supported



gas_ideal Sup H2O N2 O2 SO2 Gas_ideal Suppress Unsuppress	Molewt           18.02           28.01           32.00           64.06           80.06             Suppress All           Unsuppress 0	MappedSpecies H2O(g) ~ N2(gas_ideal) ~ O2(gas_ideal) ~ SO2(gas_ideal) ~ SO3(gas_ideal) ~ : Suppress 5 for gas_ideal
Spinel       Sup         CulCu204[2-]       CulFe204         CulFe204[2-]       CulFe204[2-]         CulVa204[6-]       CulFe204[2-]         FelCu204[1-]       FelCu204[2-]         FelO4[5-]       FelO4[6-]         Fe304       Fe304         Fe304[1-]       Fe304[1-]         Fe304[2-]       Fe304[2-]         Spinel       Suppress         Unsuppress       Unsuppress	Molewt           254.64         Cu           239.23         Cu           239.23         Cu           217.54         Cu           246.93         Fe           246.93         Fe           219.84         Fe           231.53         231.53           231.53         231.53           Suppress All         Unsuppress 0	<pre>MappedSpecies ilCu204[2m](Spinel) * Cu0.Fe203(Spinel) * ilFe204[2m](Spinel) * ilVa204[6m](Spinel) * elCu204[1m](Spinel) * elCu204[2m](Spinel) * Fe104[5m](Spinel) * Fe104[5m](Spinel) * Fe304(Spinel) * Fe304(Lp](Spinel) * Fe304[1m](Spinel) * Fe304[2m](Spinel) * Fe304[2m](Spinel) * Fe304[2m](Spinel) * Fe304[2m](Spinel) * Fe304[2m](Spinel) * Suppress 12 for Spinel</pre>
Monoxide Sup Cu0 (Fe2O3):2 FeO Monoxide Suppress Unsuppress	Molewt           79.55           79.84           71.84           Suppress All           Unsuppress 0	MappedSpecies CuO(Monoxide) ~ 203]2(Monoxide) ~ FeO(Monoxide) ~ : Suppress 3 for Monoxide
Liq(Matte_Metal)#1 Cu Cu2+ Fe Fe3+ O S Liq(Matte_Metal)#1 . Suppress	Sup         MoleWt           0         63.55           0         63.55           0         55.84           0         55.84           0         16.00           0         32.06	<pre>MappedSpecies Cu(Liq_Matetal_#1) ` Cu(Liq_Matetal_#1) ` Fe(Liq_Matetal_#1) ` Fe(Liq_Matetal_#1) ` O(Liq_Matetal_#1) ` S(Liq_Matetal_#1) ` : Suppress 6 for Liq(Matte_Metal)#1</pre>
Unsuppress Liq(Matte_Metal)#2 Cu Cu2+ Fe Fe3+ O S Liq(Matte_Metal)#2 .	Unsuppress 0 Sup MoleWt 0 63.55 0 63.55 0 55.84 0 55.84 0 16.00 0 32.06 Suppress All	MappedSpecies Cu(Liq_Matetal_#2) ~ Cu(Liq_Matetal_#2) ~ Fe(Liq_Matetal_#2) ~ Fe(Liq_Matetal_#2) ~ O(Liq_Matetal_#2) ~ S(Liq_Matetal_#2) ~ . Suppress 6 for Lig(Matte Metal)#2
Unsuppress	Unsuppress 0	. Suppress 6 for Lig(Matte_Metal)#2



#### Dynamic Model Structure

The model centers around a generic "ChemApp Tank" unit model

A converter class was build to simulate the batch process

Status: Idle, loading, Slag blow, Skimming, Cu Blow, Casting and Maintenance

Each status has a defined behaviour and set point

A "slag blow" subclass was defined to allow creating multiple slag blows, each with its own settings and configuration

Code is generic allowing use for multiple converters in a flowsheet





### Main Class Settings

General settings for the overall converting process are defined by a custom interface

The user input interface is created with each "converter" instance

**General Parameters:** 

- Ladle size (capacity)
- Loading flow rate
- Furnace and converter T (isothermal mode)
- Information variables: Fe/SiO<sub>2</sub>, %Fe, %S, State, etc.

Access.1 - ConverterControl_1						
/GControl(Slag Blow Configuration)ConverterData(TagRefs(Info(NodeStats)						
0K   Cancel   QuickView   ModelHelp   < > 8%, <2, ♦ ⊏1, ♦2						
Options >>More Tag:Short ChangeTag	Go to recent	×				
Edit	Edit					
Conv TonnesMattePerLadle	80.00	+				
Conv MatteFlowRate	10.00	t/min				
Conv. TonnesDerBevertsBoat	10.00	+				
Conv. PeventsElowPate	ŏ	t /h				
Conv. TronToSilicaPatio Actual	1.80	ka/ka				
Conv. BlastElowPate ConnerBlow	35,000,00	Nm/3/b				
Conv. BlastAirEnnichment ConperBlow	33,000.00	% · 21 to 30 %				
Conv. SulphusConsConnerBlow	23.00	× . 21 C0 50 %				
Conv. MatteTemperature	1250.00	C C				
Conv. ConventerTemperature	1250.00					
Conv. Converter remperature	1230.00	Luc				
Conv. ReatLoss	1.00	KW				
Conv.ConverterDiameter	1.00	m				
Conv.ConverterLength	1.00	m N				
Conv.MaxLevel	40.00	76 N				
Conv.Level	0	% . / ·				
Conv.ConverterRemovalFlowrate	100.00	t/min				
Conv.HeatNumber	0					
Conv. IronContent	0.33	%				
Conv.SulphurContent		%				
Conv.PauseOnStateChange						
Conv.CurrentState	CopperBlowing	×				



### Slag Blow Class Settings

The Slag Blow subclass allows to define specific process conditions for each slag blow

- Number of ladles per blow
- Blast flow rate
- Enrichment
- Silica to O<sub>2</sub> ratio
- Fe end point

🔍 Access.1 - ConverterControl_1							
/GControl)/Slag Blow Configuration(ConverterData(TagRefs(Info(NodeStats)							
OK         Cance I         QuickView         Mode IH           Options         >>More         Tag:Short         Change	elp 《》跳公�□兌 Tag �Go to recent	. v					
Edit	Edit						
Number of Slag Blows							
NumberOfSlagBlows	3 : 1 to 3						
Individual slag blow settings SlagBlow[0].NumberOfLadlesAdded SlagBlow[0].BlastFlowRate SlagBlow[0].BlastAirEnrichment SlagBlow[0].FluxToAirRatio SlagBlow[0].IronEndPointConc SlagBlow[1].NumberOfLadlesAdded SlagBlow[1].BlastFlowRate SlagBlow[1].FluxToAirRatio SlagBlow[1].FluxToAirRatio SlagBlow[1].IronEndPointConc SlagBlow[2].NumberOfLadlesAdded SlagBlow[2].BlastFlowRate	3 35000.00 24.00 1.00 5.00 2 35000.00 24.00 1.00 5.00 1 35000.00 24.00	Nm^3/h % : 21 to 30 % kg/kg % : 1 to 5 % Nm^3/h % : 21 to 30 % kg/kg % : 1 to 5 % Nm^3/h					
SlagBlow[2].FluxToAirRatio	1.00	kg/kg					
SlagBlow[2].IronEndPointConc	1.50	% : 1 to 5 %					



## **Process Logic**





## Results

#### Isothermal Case – Dynamic Model



## Results



Selected Trends vs time:

Liquid #1(matte): red Liquid #2 (blister): blue S wt% in liquid #1: yellow S wt% in liquid #2: orange



## **Summary**

- A Batch Cu Converter model was implemented in SysCAD
- The model uses SysCAD dynamic solver
- ChemApp TCE was used to simulate the converter
  - The model centers on a generic ChemApp Tank unit model
  - A thermodynamic input file including matte, metal, slag, spinel and other phases was prepared in-house for this model
- A flexible and modular approach using a converter class definition was chosen to allow future expansion of the model
  - A sub-class for slag blows was also implemented



## **Next Steps**

- Enthalpy target run (Heat balance and cold charge)
  - Automate addition of reverts and cold charge
  - Update tank discharge function (for individual phases)
- Oxygen efficiency
  - Use Constrained Free Energy to limit oxygen extent of equilibrium, particularly as a function of S concentration during late stages of Cu blow
- Multiple converters in parallel
  - Simulate converter aisle dynamics
- Upstream/downstream units
  - Add constraints originated from furnace, oxygen plant, off-gas handling system, acid plant, etc.
- Expand/Enhance thermodynamic system
  - Add additional impurities, expanding system of applicability







#### **Questions?**

#### **Please Contact:**

tanai.marin@syscad.net
 kevin.heppner@syscad.net
 info@syscad.net

#### More Information: Syscad.net help.syscad.net



## **Thermochemical Data References**

**References:** 

1. D. Shishin et al., "Thermodynamic assessment and database for the Cu–Fe–O–S system", CALPHAD, vol50 (2015), p144–160

2. G. Eriksson and A. Pelton, "Critical Evaluation and Optimization of the Thermodynamic Properties and Phase Diagrams of the CaO-Al2O3, Al2O3-SiO2, and CaO-Al2O3-SiO2 Systems", Metall. Trans. B, vol24B (1993), p807-816

3. T. Hidayat et al., "Experimental Study and Thermodynamic Re-optimization of the FeO-Fe2O3-SiO2 System", J. Phase Equilib. Diffus., vol38 (2017), p477–492

4. V. Prostakova et al., "Experimental study and thermodynamic optimization of the CaO–NiO, MgO–NiO and NiO–SiO2 systems", CALPHAD, vol37 (2012), p1–10

5. D. Shishin, V. Prostakova, E. Jak, and S.A. Decterov, "Critical Assessment and Thermodynamic Modeling of the Al-Fe-O System", Metall. Trans. B, 2015, 47, p 397-424

6. T. Hidayat et al., "Critical assessment and thermodynamic modeling of the Cu–Fe–O–Si system", CALPHAD, vol58 (2017), p101–114

7. M. Shevchenko and E. Jak, "Thermodynamic optimization of the binary PbO-"Cu2O", "Cu2O"-SiO2 and ternary PbO-"Cu2O"-SiO2 systems", CALPHAD, vol69 (2020), p1-6

8. E. Jak et al., "Thermodynamic optimisation of the FeO – Fe2O3 – SiO2 (Fe – O – Si) system with FactSage", Int. J. Mat. Res. (formerly Z. Metallkd.), v98 (2007), p847-854

9. T. Hidayat and E. Jak: Thermodynamic modelling of "Cu2O"– SiO2, "Cu2O"–CaO, and "Cu2O"–CaO–SiO2 with Cu, Int. J. Mat. Res. (formerly Z. Metallkd.), v105 (2014), p249-257

