

Experimental Determination of Thermochemical Properties

Torsten Markus

Institute for Energy Research (IEF-2) Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH 52425 Jülich T.Markus@fz-juelich.de

Mitglied der Helmholtz-Gemeinschat

Outline

- KEMS (Knudsen Effusion Mass Specktrometry)
 - Introduction and Example
- DTA (Differential Thermo Analysis)
 - Phase Diagram Determination
- DSC (Differential Scanning Calorimetry
- Modelling (Determination of Interaction Parameters)

KEMS – Introduction (Knudsen Effusion MassSpectrometry)

For chemical- and materials research elucidation of the vaporisation of materials is important

All materials vaporise if the temperature is sufficiently high

Thermodynamic data can be obtained from the partial pressures of the evaporating species (also for the condensed phase)

Knowledge of thermodynamic data is important to understand the chemical and thermodynamic behaviour like for example the interplay of substances during chemical reactions Determination of Thermodynamic Data with Knudsen Effusion Mass Spectrometry

The *High Temperature Mass Spectrometry* is the most imortant method for the analysis of vapors over condensed phases

The *Thermodynamic Data* result from the measured temperature dependence of the *Partial Pressures* of the identified *Gaseous Species*

A special variant of this technique which is frequently used in inorganic gas phase chemistry, is the

Knudsen Effusion Masss Spectrometry (KEMS)

Temperatures and pressure ranges for KEMS, TMS, LVMS



Principle of <u>K</u>nudsen <u>E</u>ffusion <u>Mass</u> <u>Spectrometry</u> (KEMS)



Schematic Representation of a Knudsen cell magnetic field mass spectrometer system



Mass Spectrometer Knudsen Cell System (CH 5)



One Compartment Knudsen Cell



Different types of Knudsen Cells



Functional principle of the two compartment Knudsen cell



Schematic representation of a Gas Inlet System



Determination of Thermodynamic Data Example: △H; △S of Dyl₃

1st step:

identification of species present in the mass spectrum

and

Assignment of fragments to their neutral precursor => fragmentation coefficients

Identification of Gaseous Species Assignment of Fragments to their neutral precursor

The temperature dependence of the ion intensities of the same neutral molecule generally show the same behaviour.

The appearance potential of the molecular ions formed by simple ionisation are generally smaller than those of fragments which come from the same neutral precursor. The appearance potential increase with increasing degree of fragmentation.

Fragmentation of a molecule is often indicated by the shape of the ionisation efficiency curve of the simple ionised ion

In comparison to molecular ions formed by simple ionisation the fragment ions have an additional kinetic energy contribution

Fragmentation



(fragmentation coefficient)

Determination of Thermodynamic Data Example: △H^F of Dyl₃

2nd step:

Measurement of the temperature dependence of ion intensities

and

Determination of partial pressures

Temperature Dependence of Ion Intensities for the Equilibrium Vaporization of Dyl₃(s)



Experimental Determination of Partial Pressures p_i of Neutral Species i

$$p_i = k \frac{1}{\sigma_i} T \sum_{j} \frac{100}{\gamma_{i,j} A_{i,j}} I_{i,j}^+ = k \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \frac{I_i^+ T}{\gamma_i A_i}$$

T temperature

- I⁺_{i,j} intensities of to the neutral species i related ions j
- A_{i,j} isotopic abundance
- $\gamma_{i,j}$ multiplier gains
- σ_i ionisation cross section of the neutral species i
- k pressure calibration constant

Different Calibration Methods

1 vaporisation of a substance with a known vapor pressure

$$k = \gamma_i \sigma_i \frac{A_i}{100} \frac{p_i}{I_i^+ T}$$

2 pressure dependent reaction taking place $X_2(g) \leftrightarrow 2X(g)$

$$K_{p} = \frac{p_{X}^{2}}{p_{X_{2}}}$$

$$k = \frac{\sigma_X^2}{\sigma_{X_2}} \frac{I_{X_2}}{I_X^2} \frac{1}{T} k_p$$

3 calibration by using the mass loss

$$k = \frac{\sigma(i)}{I(i)T} \frac{1}{q \cdot c} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi RT}{M_i}} \frac{dm_i}{dt}$$

Temperature Dependence of the Partial Pressures for the Equilibrium Vaporization of Dyl₃(s)



Determination of Thermodynamic Data Example: ΔH^{F} of Dyl_{3}

<u>3rd step:</u>

Determination of Thermodynamic Data

Equilibrium Constant

$$DyI_3(s) \Leftrightarrow DyI_3(g)$$

$$\mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{p}}^{0} = \prod_{j} \left(\frac{\mathsf{p}_{j}}{\mathsf{p}^{0}} \right)^{\mathsf{v}_{i}} = \frac{\mathsf{p}_{\mathsf{D}\mathsf{y}\mathsf{l}_{3}}(\mathsf{g})}{\mathsf{p}^{0} \cdot \mathsf{p}_{\mathsf{D}\mathsf{y}\mathsf{l}_{3}}(\mathsf{s})} = \frac{\mathsf{p}_{\mathsf{D}\mathsf{y}\mathsf{l}_{3}}(\mathsf{g})}{\mathsf{p}^{0}}$$

with

$$p_{\text{Dyl}_3(s)} = p^0$$

Determination of Thermodynamic Properties



Determination of thermodynamic properties 2nd law method

$$\Delta_{\rm r} G_{\rm T}^0 = -RT \ln K_{\rm p}^0 \qquad \Delta_{\rm r} G_{\rm T}^0 = \Delta_{\rm r} H_{\rm T}^0 - T\Delta_{\rm r} S_{\rm T}^0$$



Determination of *A***H and** *A***S from Equilibrium Constant**



Thermodynamic Data for the equilibrium vaporization of Dyl₃(s)

	T _m	ΔH^0_{Tm}	ΔH^{0}_{298}	ΔH^0_{298}	ΔS^0_{298}	$\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{m}})$
	K	kJ mol ⁻¹	kJ mol ⁻¹ 2 nd law	kJ mol ⁻¹ 3 rd law	kJ (kmol K) ⁻¹	
Ι	920	260,5±2,7	279,4±2,8	278,0±0,9	201,9±3	3,05.10-6
II	920	325,6±4,4	352,9±4,3	356,5±1,0	250,7±5,24	1,15.10-7
II	920	-195,4±3,3	-205,4±3,3	-199,49±0,8	-153,0±3,0	1,24.10+4
I	$DyI_3(s) \rightarrow DyI_3(g)$					
II	2Dyl	$I_3(s) \rightarrow Dy_2 I_6(g)$				
IV	2Dyl	$I_3(f) \rightarrow Dy_2 I_6(g)$				

<u>D</u>ifferential <u>Thermal Analysis (DTA)</u>





Measuring of Phase Transition Temperatures

Determination of the Quantity of Heat

Studies in different Atmospheres

Thermal Analysis from RT to 2800 K

Phase Diagram of Nal – Cel₃ determined by DTA and compositions and temperatures for KEMS measurements



Thermodynamic Properties of A and B in Mixtures {xA + (1-x)B}

Activities:

According to definition: $a(i) = \frac{p(i)}{p^{\circ}(i)} = \frac{I(i^{+})}{I^{\circ}(i^{+})}$ (i = A, B)

Ion Intensity Ratio integration Method (GD-IIR):

$$\ln f(A) = -\int_{x=1}^{x} (1-x) \, d\ln \left(\frac{x \ I(B^+)}{(1-x) \ I(A^+)} \right) \qquad a(A) = x \ f(A)$$

Enthalpies and Gibbs Energies:

$$\Delta_{mix} H(A) = R \frac{d \ln a(A)}{d(1/T)} \qquad G_m^E = RT [x_{MX_n} \ln \gamma_{MX_n} + (1 - x_{MX_n}) \ln \gamma_{M'X_m}]$$

Temperature and Composition dependency of activity for the Nal – Cel₃ system



activities at 750 °C



Enthalpy of mixing for the Nal-Cel₃ System



Thermodynamic Modeling Procedure



Introduction to the Data Optimization procedure

The *aim is* to generate a consistent set of Gibbs energy parameters from a given set of experimental data using known Gibbs energy data from well established phases of a particular chemical system.

Typical experimental data include:

phase diagram data: transitions temperatures and pressures as well as amount and composition of the phases at equilibrium

calorimetric data: enthalpies of formation or phase transformation, enthalpies of mixing, heat contents and heat capacity measurements

partial Gibbs energy data: activities from vapor pressure or EMF measurements

volumetric data: dilatometry, density measurements.

The assessor has to use his best judgement on which of the known parameters should remain fixed, which set of parameters need refinement in the optimization and which new parameters have to be introduced, especially when assessing data for non-ideal solutions.

Overview of the data to be optimized in the Nal-Cel₃ system

Various experimental data on the binary NaI-CeI₃ system have been measured:

- phase diagram data (liquidus points, eutectic points)
- liquid-liquid enthalpy of mixing
- activity of Nal(liq) at different temperatures

OptiSage will be used to optimize the parameters for the liquid Gibbs energy model (XS terms). All other data (G° of the pure stoichiometric solids, as well as the pure liquid components) will be taken from the FACT database (i.e. remain fixed). A polynomial model for the Gibbs energy of the liquid will be used: $G = (X_1 G_1^\circ + X_2 G_2^\circ) + RT(X_1 \ln X_1 + X_2 \ln X_2) + G^E$

where $G^{E} = \Delta H - TS^{E}$

Using the binary excess terms:

 $\Delta \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{X}_{1}\mathbf{X}_{2} (\mathbf{A}_{1}) + \mathbf{X}_{1}^{2}\mathbf{X}_{2} (\mathbf{B}_{1})$ $\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{E}} = \mathbf{X}_{1}\mathbf{X}_{2} (\mathbf{A}_{3}) + \mathbf{X}_{1}^{2}\mathbf{X}_{2} (\mathbf{B}_{3})$

Hence:

 $G^{E} = X_{1}X_{2} (A_{1} - A_{3}T) + X_{1}^{2}X_{2} (B_{1} - B_{3}T)$ Where A_{1}, A_{3}, B_{1} and B_{3} are the 4 parameters to be optimized.



Phase Diagram of the System Nal (calculated)



 $G_m^E = (x_{Nal}^i)(x_{Cel3}^i)(A + B^*T + C^*T^*In(T) + D^*T^2 + E^*T^3 + F^*T^{-1})$

Outline

- KEMS (Knudsen Effusion Mass Specktrometry)
 - Introduction and Example
- DTA (Differential Thermo Analysis)
 - Phase Diagram Determination
- DSC (Differential Scanning Calorimetry
- Modelling (Determination of Interaction Parameters)